



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

				<b>1</b> **	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/038,062	01/04/2002	Stephen A. Milks	8416-000008	5754	
75	12/02/2003		EXAMI	NER	
W. R. Duke Ta	W. R. Duke Taylor			GRAY, MICHAEL KUHN	
Harness, Dicker	y & Pierce, P.L.C				
P.O. Box 828	,,		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
Bloomfield Hills, MI 48303			3746		
			DATE MAILED: 12/02/2003	(2	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/038,062	MILKS, STEPHEN A.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Michael K. GRAY	3746				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron , cause the application to become ABANDON	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 Se	eptember 2003.					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4) 🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-3, 5-16 and 18-19</u> is/are pending in						
5)[]	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
•	☑ Claim(s) is/are anowed. ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-3, 5-8, ,10-16 and 19</u> is/are rejected.						
	☐ Claim(s) <u>7-3, 3-6, 76-76 and 79</u> is/are rejected.☐ Claim(s) <u>9 and 18</u> is/are objected to.						
•	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
•	ion Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)⊠	$\bigcirc$ The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 January 2002</u> is/are: a) $\bigcirc$ accepted or b) $\bigcirc$ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
•	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		·				
* (13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic since a specific reference was included in the first 37 CFR 1.78.  a) The translation of the foreign language processes acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic efference was included in the first sentence of the foreign was included in the first sentence of the foreign was included in the first sentence of the foreign was included in the first sentence of the foreign was included in the first sentence of the foreign was included in the first sentence of the foreign was included in the first sentence of the foreign was included in the first sentence of the foreign was included in the first sentence of the foreign was included in the first sentence of the foreign was included in the first sentence of the foreign was included in the first sentence of the foreign was included in the first sentence of the foreign was included in the first sentence of the foreign was included in the first sentence of the foreign was included in the first sentence of the foreign was included in the first sentence of the foreign was included was	s have been received. s have been received in Applica rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). of the certified copies not receiv ic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 st sentence of the specification of povisional application has been re- ic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 12	tion No red in this National Stage  ed. (e) (to a provisional application) or in an Application Data Sheet.  ceived. 0 and/or 121 since a specific				
Attachmer	nt(s)						
1) Notice 2) Notice	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/038,062

Art Unit: 3746

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Background

1) The applicant filed a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) on September 23, 2003. As a result the Amendment filed August 5, 2003 has been entered and the claims contained therein are now being considered in the present Office Action.

## **Drawings**

2) The drawings are now in an acceptable formal condition.

#### Specification

3) The specification should be again reviewed for any minor errors. Applicant is reminded that all claimed elements should be described with corresponding reference numerals that are shown in the drawings.

#### Claim Objections

4) Claims 3 and 12 are objected to in that it is not clear what applicant is stating. Is applicant claiming that the present invention creates an equal or greater air current than all fans powered by a 120-volt alternating current, including fans having larger fan blades than the disclosed invention? Such a claim is not factually correct and the disclosure does not disclose how the present invention can produce an air stream greater or equal to that produced by large diameter fan blades powered by a 120-volt alternating current.

Art Unit: 3746

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 3 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for using the claimed air circulation device with a 12-volt DC current, does not reasonably provide enablement for allowing the device to produce an air current greater than all air circulation devices powered by a 120 volt alternating current and does not provide an example of any AC operated devices which provide an air current less than the disclosed device. Thus, the specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to practice the invention commensurate in scope with the invention claimed in claims 3 and 12.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6) The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Art Unit: 3746

Claim 1 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Goyetche (6,440,190).

With regard to claim 1, <u>Goyetche</u> teaches an air circulation device having a housing assembly having a front face portion 150 (Figure 1A) and a rear face portion 119. A base portion or region extends between the front and rear face portions and includes a housing body 102. The base portion includes a motor 104 and fan blades 108. A rigid casing 107 seals the motor and associated motor bearings creating a liquid impermeable seal that allows the air circulation device to be subjected to liquids for cleaning the fan blades while preventing corrosion and damage due to liquid. The bottom of the housing body 102 includes an elongated support portion.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7) The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 5-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Goyetche (6,440,190).

Although <u>Goyetche</u> teaches the casing and motor of claim 1, it does not teach the material the casing is made of.

Art Unit: 3746

Claim 5 states that the casing is made of a rigid, non-corrosive material. In that the motor casing of <u>Goyetche</u> is to be subjected to damp, moisture containing air, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the motor casing of a non-corrosive material (e.g., stainless steel or hard plastic) to promote a longer work-life for the casing.

Claim 6 claims the device, excluding the motor and casing, is made of a polymeric material. In that plastics have been utilized in fan housings due to their sturdy, light-weight and low-cost construction, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to utilize a fan housing made of a polymeric material to economically prevent corrosion and to reduce the weight of the fan housing and associated elements.

Claims 1, and 7-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Raab et al. (5,232,090) in view of Goyetche (6,440,190).

Raab et al. teaches a housing assembly which includes a front face portion (front grill), a main base portion 11, 12, 13 and a back face portion (rear grill). The base portion includes a motor 15 and a fan blade. The motor necessarily has a motor casing. A base portion includes a bottom face 11 having elongated support members 19, 20 that are capable of being pivotably disposed in an extended position or a contracted position as a result of their being mounted by a pivot pin.

Art Unit: 3746

In that <u>Goyetche</u> teaches a sealed motor box 106 which protects the fan motor from contaminants, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the <u>Raab et al</u>. fan motor with a sealed casing to protect the fan motor from moisture and contaminants and to prolong the life of the fan motor.

In that the support members of Raab et al. are attached to the box frame at one location (i.e., the location of pin 21), one of ordinary skill in the art would have considered it as obvious that the support members could be secured to the box frame in various angular positions by rotating the support member on the pin and fastening the support member at a desired location. Further, the applicant in claim 8 does not provide structure which allows the support portion to be pivoted and secured at a desired location.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable <u>Goyetche</u> (6,440,190) in view of <u>Hung</u> (5,839,205).

The air circulation device claimed in claim 1 is demonstrated by <u>Goyetche</u>; however, <u>Goyetche</u> does not teach a fan motor which is powered by a DC power source.

Hung demonstrates an air circulation device having a housing in which is located a motor and a fan blade. The motor of the fan is powered by a 12-volt direct current power source such as provided by the cigarette lighter socket of an automobile.

Art Unit: 3746

In that DC operated fans have been used to provide air circulation at locations that are positioned away from an AC power source, it would have been obvious to power a motor enclosed in a sealed casing (such as the motor or <u>Goyetche</u>) with a DC power source so that the fan could be used in various locations and not be dependent on a home-based AC power source.

Claims 10 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Raab et al. (5,232,090).

Raab et al. teaches a housing assembly which includes a front face portion (front grill), a main base portion 11, 12, 13 and a back face portion (rear grill). The base portion includes a motor 15 and a fan blade. The motor necessarily has a motor casing. A base portion includes a bottom face 11 having elongated support members 19, 20 that are capable of being pivotably disposed in an extended position or a contracted position as a result of their being mounted by a pivot pin.

In that the support members of Raab et al. are attached to the box frame at one location (i.e., the location of pin 21), one of ordinary skill in the art would have considered it as obvious that the support members could be secured to the box frame in various angular positions by rotating the support member on the pin and fastening the support member at a desired location. Further, the applicant in claim 10 does not claim structure which allows the support portion to be pivoted and secured at a desired location.

Art Unit: 3746

As for claim 19, it would have been obvious to make the box fan of <u>Raab et al</u>. about three inches thick. The applicant does not provide an explanation of the specific unexpected benefit that would be provided by making the fan three inches thick.

Accordingly, the thickness of the fan would be considered an obvious design choice.

Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Raab et al. (5,232,090) in view of Hung (5,839,205).

Raab et al. substantially demonstrates the invention claimed in claim 10, but do not teach a motor driven by a DC power source.

Hung demonstrates an air circulation device having a housing in which is located a motor and a fan blade. The motor of the fan is powered by a 12-volt direct current power source such as provided by the cigarette lighter socket of an automobile.

In that DC operated fans have been used to provide air circulation at locations that are positioned away from an AC power source, it would have been obvious to power a fan motor, such as the Raab et al. fan motor, with a DC power source so that the fan could be used in various locations and not be dependent on a home-based AC power source.

Claims 13-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Raab et al. (5,232,090) in view of Goyetche (6,440,190).

Raab et al. substantially teaches the invention claimed in claim 10, but does not demonstrate the elements claimed in claims 13-16.

Page 9

Application/Control Number: 10/038,062

Art Unit: 3746

As for claims 13-16, <u>Goyetche</u> teaches a fan motor sealed in a rigid casing for protection against contaminants, etc. In that the prolonged life of a fan motor is a desirable feature, it would have been obvious to use a sealed, rigid fan motor casing, as disclosed by <u>Goyetche</u> with the fan disclosed in <u>Raab et al</u>. to prolong the life of the fan. In that prolonging the life of the fan motor is a desirable feature, it would have been obvious to make the casing of a non-corrosive, impermeable material to protect the fan motor from moisture and extend the life of the motor, and it would have been obvious to make the fan housing and associated elements, other than the motor and casing) of a polymeric material to provide an inexpensive, durable and light weight material for the motor housing.

### Allowable Subject Matter

8) Claims 9 and 18 would appear to contain allowable subject matter in that the claimed elongated support portion which is secured by a knob and a dimple would not appear to be demonstrated in the prior art. Accordingly, claims 9 and 18 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all the limitiations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### References

9) <u>Porter et al</u>. (3,733,150) disclose a fan unit for moving wet air and is considered relevant to applicant's disclosure.

Art Unit: 3746

## Response to Arguments

10) With the newly applied reference of <u>Goyetche et al.</u>, applicant's arguments have become moot.

Further, in claims 8 and 10, applicant does not claim structure which allows the supports to be positioned at various desired positions.

#### Communication

11) Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Gray whose telephone number is (703) 308-6196.

If the examiner does not answer the phone, a message will be provided as to when he will be in the Office. A message may be left on the examiner's voice mail.

The examiner's supervisor Justine Yu can be reached at (703) 308-2675.

The Official Fax number is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0861.

/Michael K. Gray Patent Examiner
Art Unit 3746

JUSTINE R. YU PRIMARY EXAMINER

11/25/03